

DOCUMENT NUMBER: COMM-QA-076 FRM1		
DOCUMENT TITLE: CAPA Report FRM1		
DOCUMENT NOTES:		
Document Information		
Revision: 05	Vault: COMM-QA-rel	
Status: Release	Document Type: COMM-QA	
Date Information		
Creation Date: 29 Oct 2019	Release Date: 30 Oct 2020	
Effective Date: 30 Oct 2020	Expiration Date:	
Control Information		
Author: BS76	Owner: RB232	
Previous Number: COMM-QA-076 FRM1 Rev 0-	4 Change Number: COMM-CCR-132	

Note: Reference COMM-QA-076 Appendix A for instructions

TAB: CAPA Summary

CAPA Report Number:	Progr	am		
CAPA Report Initiated By:		Date l	nitiated:	
Event Report(s) (DEV-INV; AE; Complaint) associated with this CAPA:				
Issue Statement: (Summarize Issue and Root Cause of the issue addressed by this CAPA.)				

Note: Reference COMM-QA-076 Appendix A for instructions

TAB: CAPA and EC - 1

I. Corrective and Preventive Actions					
Projected Completion Date	Date Completed	Responsible Person (Initials)			
Corrective Action Prevention	ve Action (Select the action taken to effective	ly address each issue.)			
Proposed CAPA (Explain proposed CAPA; To be Completed on First Route):					
1.					
CAPA Outcome (To Be Completed on Sec	cond Route):				
1.					
Change Control Request(s) associate	ed with this CAPA:				

I. Effectiveness Checks						
Projected Completion Date	Date Completed	Responsible Person (Initials)				
	Proposed Effectiveness Check					
	n proposed to assess CAPA effectiveness; To be C	Completed on First Route)				
1.						
	Effectiveness Check Outcome					
(Explain outcome of effecti	veness check and if considered effective; <i>To be C</i>	Completed on Second Route)				
1.						

Note: Reference COMM-QA-076 Appendix A for instructions

TAB: CAPA and EC - 2

II. Corrective and Preventive Actions					
Projected Completion Date	Date Completed	Responsible Person (Initials)			
Corrective Action Prevention	ve Action (Select the action taken to effective	ly address each issue.)			
Proposed CAPA (Explain proposed CAPA; To be Completed on First Route):					
2.					
CAPA Outcome (To Be Completed on Sec	cond Route):				
2.					
Change Control Request(s) associat	ed with this CAPA:				

II. Effectiveness Checks				
Projected Completion Date	Date Completed	Responsible Person (Initials)		
(Eyplain follow-up action	Proposed Effectiveness Check n proposed to assess CAPA effectiveness; To be C	Completed on First Route)		
2.	i proposed to assess of it it effectiveless, i o be e	ompieces on t itsi Rouce)		
	Effectiveness Check Outcome			
	veness check and if considered effective; To be C	ompleted on Second Route)		
2.				

Note: Reference COMM-QA-076 Appendix A for instructions

TAB: CAPA and EC - 3

II. Corrective and Preventive Actions					
Projected Completion Date	Date Completed	Responsible Person (Initials)			
© Corrective Action C Preventi	ve Action (Select the action taken to effective	ely address each issue.)			
Proposed CAPA (Explain proposed CAPA; To be Completed on First Route):					
3.					
CAPA Outcome (To Be Completed on Se	cond Route):				
3.					
Change Control Request(s) associat	red with this CAPA:				
1 (/					

ш.	Effectiveness Checks		
J	Projected Completion Date	Date Completed	Responsible Person (Initials)
		Proposed Effectiveness Check	1
	(Explain follow-up action	n proposed to assess CAPA effectiveness; To be	e Completed on First Route)
3.			
		Effectiveness Check Outcome	
	(Explain outcome of effect	iveness check and if considered effective; To be	e Completed on Second Route)
3.			

Assessment

Pre-CAPA Risk Assessment Summary (Include details of original risk assessment, when applicable)
Severity Assessment Score (S):
Probability Assessment Score (P):
Detectability Assessment Score (D):
COMBINED RISK ASSESSMENT SCORE:
Effectiveness Check Risk Assessment Evaluation (Summarize overall risk assessment outcome after implementation of associated CAPAs)
When assessing risk within one parameter, if two scores are determined (such as severity on product vs. patient), the more stringent (higher score) assessment will be used when calculating the final risk score. Rationale for the lower score should also be provided.
Severity Assessment Score (S):
Probability Assessment Score (P):
Detectability Assessment Score (D):
COMBINED RISK ASSESSMENT SCORE:
SUMMARY OF RISK OUTCOME:
□ N /A

Note: Reference COMM-QA-076 Appendix A for instructions

Risk Assessment Tables (From COMM-QA-077)

Severity Risk Matrix

S	Severity	Definition	Anticipated Harm to the Patient	GMP Non- compliance	Impact on Product
1	Negligible	Insignificant	None	None	No perceived impact on product
2	Marginal	At the outer or lower limits, minimal for requirements	Minimal	Minor	Unlikely impact on product, SQIPP not likely to be affected
3	Moderate	Within reasonable limits, transient or persistent	Transient or persistent, not life threatening	Significant	May indirectly impact product quality/SQIPP
4	Serious	Very important	Permanent, life threatening	Major	High likelihood of impacting product quality/SQIPP
5	Critical	Abnormal, unstable, unfavorable	May cause or contribute to death	Serious	Evidence of Product Impact, SQIPP affected

Probability Risk Matrix

1100			
P	Probability	Definition (Occurrence)	Definition (Recurrence)
1	Rare	Not likely to happen, nearly impossible	Extremely unlikely to recur
2	Low	Occurrence is hardly likely, but possible	Unlikely to recur
3	Occasional	May occur sometimes	Likely to recur sometimes
4	Probable	Repeated occurrence, high likelihood of occurrence	Recur at moderate rate
5	Frequent	Will happen for certain, a regularly observed event	Likely to recur regularly

Detectability Risk Matrix

D	Detectability	Definition	Examples
1	High	Control system in place; automated detectability certain	Automatic detection system that is a direct measure of the failure
2	Good	Control system is in place with a high probability to detect the issue or its effects	SOP driven process that facilitates a direct measure of the failure
3	Moderate	Control system in place could detect the issue or its effects	SOP driven process that is NOT directly measuring or assessing the failure
4	Fair	Control system in place with a low probability to detect the issue or its effects	Non-SOP driven process for detection of direct measure of the failure
5	Low	No control system in place to detect the issue.	No ability to detect the failure or no SOP-driven process to indirectly detect the failure

Note: Reference COMM-QA-076 Appendix A for instructions

TAB: External Reporting / Attachments

External Reporting:

Does this event require external reporting? Yes No

Explain determination for external reporting:

[This section to be populated by author/initiator if known at time of report and/or QSU at time of review]

Attachments		

Note: Reference COMM-QA-076 Appendix A for instructions

TAB: Appendix

Appendix A (From COMM-QA-076)

Signature Manifest

Document Number: COMM-QA-076 FRM1 **Revision:** 05

Title: CAPA Report FRM1
Effective Date: 30 Oct 2020

All dates and times are in Eastern Time.

COMM-QA-076 FRM1 CAPA Report FRM1

Author

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Bing Shen (BS76)		23 Oct 2020, 03:52:40 PM	Approved

Medical Director

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Joanne Kurtzberg (KURTZ001)		23 Oct 2020, 05:18:07 PM	Approved

Quality

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Richard Bryant (RB232)		26 Oct 2020, 10:30:27 AM	Approved

Document Release

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Sandy Mulligan (MULLI026)		27 Oct 2020, 11:36:23 AM	Approved